

1 BRYAN WILSON (CA SBN 138842)
BWilson@mofo.com
2 KIMBERLY N. VAN VOORHIS (CA SBN 197486)
KVanVoorhis@mofo.com
3 CHRISTOPHER F. JEU (CA SBN 247865)
CJeu@mofo.com
4 BENJAMIN PETERSEN (CA SBN 267120)
BPetersen@mofo.com
5 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
755 Page Mill Road
6 Palo Alto, California 94304-1018
Telephone: 650.813.5600
7 Facsimile: 650.494.0792

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
SONICS, INC.
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 OAKLAND DIVISION
13

14 SONICS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 ARTERIS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

18 Defendant.
19

Case No. 4:11-cv-05311 SBA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
REGARDING SCHEDULING OF
CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE**

Date: N/A

Time: N/A

Ctrm: 1 - 4th Floor

Judge: Sandra Brown Armstrong

1
2
3 Plaintiff, Sonics, Inc. and Defendant, Arteris, Inc. (the “Parties”) hereby stipulate and
4 respectfully request as follows:

5 WHEREAS, on November 1, 2011, Sonics filed a complaint against Arteris, Inc., alleging
6 infringement of United States Patents Nos. 6,182,183; 7,266,786; 7,277,975; 6,961,834;
7 7,191,273; 6,816,814; and 7,299,155 (collectively, the “Sonics Patents-in-Suit”).

8 WHEREAS, the Court had scheduled an initial Case Management Conference in this case
9 for February 16, 2012, but it postponed that conference until March 14 in view of Sonics’
10 pending Administrative Motion to Determine Whether Cases are Related.

11 WHEREAS, on March 14, 2012, at the time of the rescheduled Case Management
12 Conference, the Court clerk asked the parties to submit a revised Case Management Conference
13 Statement with a proposed schedule that included only dates through claim construction,
14 indicating that a Case Management Conference addressing those proposed dates would follow on
15 March 21, 2012.

16 WHEREAS, on March 21, 2012, the Court cancelled the rescheduled Case Management
17 Conference due to a Court emergency.

18 WHEREAS, as of the date of this stipulation, the Court has not yet scheduled a Case
19 Management Conference.

20 Based on the foregoing, the Parties hereby stipulate and request that a Case Management
21 Conference be scheduled at the Court’s earliest convenience, as such a conference will allow the
22 parties to continue to move the case forward efficiently.

1
2 Dated: May 4, 2012

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

3
4 By: /s/ Bryan Wilson
BRYAN WILSON

5
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
SONICS, INC.

7 Dated: May 4, 2012

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

8
9 By: /s/ Mark Fowler
MARK FOWLER

10
11 Attorneys for Defendant
ARTERIS, INC.

12
13
14 **DECLARATION OF CONSENT**

15 I hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic filing
16 of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

17
18 Dated: May 4, 2012


/s/ Bryan Wilson
Bryan Wilson

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION REGARDING SCHEDULING OF CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

A Case Management Conference is scheduled for: May 30, 2012 at 3:15 p.m. Prior to the date scheduled for the conference, the parties shall meet and confer and prepare a joint Case Management Conference Statement. Plaintiff is responsible for filing the joint statement no less than seven (7) days prior to the conference date. The joint statement shall comply with the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California and the Standing Orders of this Court. Plaintiff is responsible for setting up the conference call, and on the specified date and time, shall call (510) 637-3559 with all parties on the line.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/7/12



Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong
Judge, United States District Court